

# Industrial Computed Radiography with storage phosphor imaging plates – results of a classification of the system Dürr HD-CR 35 NDT scanner with blue HD-IP Plus imaging plates (HD-IP<sup>+</sup>)

<b>BAM reference</b>	BAM VIII.3 / 7159
<b>Report date</b>	December 9 <sup>th</sup> 2010
<b>Customer</b>	Dürr NDT GmbH & Co. KG Höfigheimer Straße 22 D-74321 Bietigheim-Bissingen Germany
<b>Reference</b>	Contract No. BAM ZBA-0001-2006-Dürr
<b>Test samples</b>	"HD-CR 35 NDT" scanner, serial number A212306002, 6 blue imaging plates "HD-IP <sup>+</sup> ", 10x24 cm <sup>2</sup> , batch "2009-10"
<b>Receipt of samples</b>	November 6 <sup>th</sup> 2010
<b>Test date</b>	November 2010
<b>Test location</b>	BAM Berlin
<b>Test procedure</b>	Measurements for system classification of the CR system HD-CR 35 NDT scanner and HD-IP <sup>+</sup> imaging plates, evaluation of image quality, CEN speed, basic spatial resolution and IP system classes depending on exposure dose according to the standards EN 14784-1 and ASTM E 2446 and E 2445
<b>Test specifications</b>	according to EN 14784-1 and ASTM E 2445 and E 2446

This test report consists of page 1 to 17 and enclosures 16 figures.

This test report may only be published in full and without any additions. A revocable permission in writing has to be obtained from BAM for any amended reproduction of this certificate or the publication of any excerpts. The test results refer exclusively to the tested materials.

In case a German version of the test report is available, exclusively the German version is binding.

TEST REPORT



## Aim of testing

The primary aim of this investigation was the evaluation and classification of the above mentioned CR system according to table 1 in EN 14784-1. The normalized signal-to-noise ratios were measured according to section 6.1.1 (step exposure method) in EN 14784-1, the minimum read-out intensities  $I_{IP_x}$  were calculated according to 6.2 and the image unsharpness parameters were determined according to 6.3 (MTF-method and duplex wire method) to estimate the maximum basic spatial resolution  $SR_{max}$ . The CEN speeds  $S_{CEN}$  were determined for all IP system classes. All other tests described in section 6.4.1 up to 6.4.5 and annex B of EN 14784-1 have been carried out based on a CR test phantom.

This test report gives only references to EN 14784-1 for simplicity since ASTM E 2445 and 2446 have identical requirements, but they are structured in different sections compared to the EN standard.

## Summary of test results


The measurements according to EN 14784-1 and ASTM E 2446 are summarized in the following CR system classification of the above CR system of Dürr NDT GmbH & Co. KG:

ASTM system class	CEN system class	minimum normalized SNR <sub>IP<sub>x</sub></sub>	minimum dose K <sub>s</sub> / mGy	CEN / ISO speed S <sub>CEN</sub> = S <sub>ISO</sub>	minimum linearized intensity I <sub>IP<sub>x</sub></sub>
IP special / 40	IP1/40	130	4,78	200	7550
	IP2/40	117	3,52	320	5600
	IP3/40	78	1,41	800	2250
IP I / 40	IP4/40	65	1,04	1000	1650
IP II / 40	IP5/40	52	0,76	1250	1250
IP III / 40	IP6/40	43	0,62	1600	1000

**Table 1:** CR system classification for the HD-CR 35 NDT scanner and HD-IP<sup>+</sup> imaging plates (pixel size: 20 µm). Film plastic bags have been used for exposure without lead screens.

## System set-up for classification

All investigations reported in this test have been carried out with the scanner set-up shown in fig.1. The raw data have been acquired with system software Vistascanconfig.exe, which is installed by default, when the user installs the device drivers of the scanner HD-CR 35 NDT on a PC. By starting the programme "Vistascanconfig.exe" (Version 3.1.0.4665 was used for certification) the scan mode "HD-CR with HD-IP 20 µm BAM certified" as shown in fig. 1 was selected as test scanning mode under the tab "test". By pressing the button "Test mode" a new window "Scan preview" was opened at the Windows desktop, showing "Scanner ready". All 4 green LEDs on the scanner should light to indicate that the scanner is ready for scanning. The scan mode shown in fig. 1 scanned at 2114 rotations per minute with a shift of 40 µm per rotation in slow scan mode. This gives a scanning speed of 84.6 mm/minute of the imaging plate. An IP of size 24x10 cm<sup>2</sup> was scanned and all data are transferred to the PC within 2 min. A data file of 120 MBytes is created with a pixel size of 20 µm in the sub-dir "C:\Duerr\vistascan\Images\" as "\*.xyz" file. These "\*.xyz" image files were finally loaded into the BAM image analysis tool "Isee!" (version 1.10.2), see [http://www\\_kb.bam.de/ic.html](http://www_kb.bam.de/ic.html)). The original image raw data (gv<sub>raw</sub>) sent by the HD-CR 35 NDT scanner are dose proportional and have full 16 bit resolution. All analysis according to EN 14784-1 have to be based on a linearized signal intensity scale ( $I_{meas}$ ), starting from Zero at Zero dose exposure. No conversion of the raw gray values was needed to fulfil this condition, because the digitized raw data are directly proportional to the photo stimulated luminescence measured with the internal photo multiplier of this scanner.



**Fig. 1:** Set-up of device parameters used for system classification of the HD-CR 35 NDT scanner, running the programme "Vistascanconfig.exe"

The set-up shown in fig. 1 was stored in the configuration file "vistascan.ini" in the sub-dir "C:\Duerr\vistascan\" as the following section:

```

ParamNameL1=HD-CR with HD-IP 20µm BAM certified
Scale=1
Mirror=1
Range=AutoGrayRange lo=0 up=0 htyp=-1
Binning=0
Rotation=0
Script=
Flag=0
ID=
Max_X=100
Max_Y=100
Res_X=20
Res_Y=20
PMT_HV=620
Laser=6
PentaSpd=2114
Schwellwert=0x0000FFFF
LampOnTime=1
EraseEnable=1
FastMode=0
Interpolate_y=2
ParamName=HD-CR with HD-IP 20µm BAM certified
ParamNameL2=HD-CR with HD-IP 20µm BAM certified

```

Especially the line "Interpolate\_Y=2" cannot be changed by the user interface shown in fig.1 and has to be inserted by hand directly into the file "vistascan.ini". This line ensures that the scanner scans with the double speed in slow-scan direction, which results in a rectangular pixel size of 20 µm (fast-scan) by 40 µm (slow-scan) during the scan. The device driver corrects this after scanning and duplicates all scan lines in the image. This increases the file by a factor of two, but provides again a quadratic pixel size and a correct aspect ratio for image viewing.

In this way the scanning speed is doubled and the basic spatial resolution has the same values in fast-scan and slow-scan direction as shown in section 1.1.

All exposures were done with a pixel size setting of 20 µm and a high voltage setting of the photo multiplier (PMT) of 620 V. The laser power was reduced to 6 (from maximum 8) to reduce scanning artefacts. This allows a max. X-ray dose of 47 mGy for the saturation of the scanner (max. gray values clipped at 65 500) with HD-IP<sup>+</sup> IPs. If the PMT voltage is increased, this clipping point is reduced for lower dose values without changing the SNR<sub>N</sub> in the data. If the PMT voltage is reduced below 500 V, additional fading artefacts are introduced in the image, which originates from residual electrons in the PMT from the previous digitized pixel value. PMT high voltage values below 500V should be avoided.

All exposures have been carried out with HD-IP<sup>+</sup>'s 10x24cm<sup>2</sup> in standard film plastic bags without lead screens. This deviation from EN 14784-1 was agreed with Dürr, because a Pb screen reduces the flexibility of the thin IP and generates very easily scratches on the surface of the IP. The step exposure method with 220 kV and 8 mm Cu pre-filter was used for SNR<sub>N</sub> measurements and IP system classification.

To ensure consistent results comparing different IP's from the same batch, a waiting time of 10 min was kept between end of exposure and begin of scanning to avoid varying fading effects.


All images are shown in negative mode as film images in this report, i.e. a high gray value (high dose) is shown darker.

## Description of test results

### 1. Determination of unsharpness

#### 1.1 Duplex wire method

The image unsharpness was determined with 2 duplex wire image quality indicators (IQIs) according to EN 462-5. They were placed directly on the HD-IP tilted by 5° perpendicular and parallel to the Laser scanning direction (fast scan in the scanner unit) and exposed at 90 kV and a distance between source (focal spot size of 1.5 mm according to EN 12543-2) and object of 1.70 m. Fig. 2 shows the results for 90 kV at the scanner pixel size of 20 µm. There was no difference observed for 220 kV X-ray voltage and 8 mm Cu filter for beam hardening besides a reduced contrast of the duplex wire IQIs. Therefore, only the results for 90 kV have been shown in fig. 2.



**Fig. 2:** Measurements of unsharpness with duplex wire IQIs at a pixel size of 20 µm.  
**Left side:** Laser scan direction (fast scan), DD13 is resolved with > 20 % dip separation corresponding to a basic spatial resolution of 40 µm (see EN 14784-1; ">13D"), **Right side:** slow scan direction, DD13 is resolved with > 20 % dip separation corresponding to a basic spatial resolution of 40 µm in slow scan direction. The maximum basic spatial resolution is SR<sub>max</sub> = 40 µm.

The same basic spatial resolution was measured for the two spatial directions.


The maximum basic spatial resolution is the half of the larger unsharpness value (of both directions) rounded to the nearest 10 µm step. The investigated system HD-CR 35 NDT scanner and HD-IP<sup>+</sup> imaging plate has the following maximum basic spatial resolution in the scanning mode shown in fig.1:

$$SR_{max} = 40 \text{ } \mu\text{m}$$

## 1.2 MTF method

The unsharpness was measured also with the MTF method on a tungsten edge according to IEC 62220-1 at 90 kV X-ray voltage. In fig. 3 the results are shown for 20 µm pixel size. An increase in X-ray energy or added lead screens degrades the MTF further to lower 20 % MTF values caused by additional scatter effects (low frequency drop of the MTF).


The SR<sub>max</sub> values have to be taken from the measurements with the duplex wire IQIs (see fig. 2) according to EN 14784-1, so the MTF measurements are shown here for information only.



**Fig. 3:** MTF measurements at 90 kV, 20  $\mu\text{m}$  nominal pixel size, **Left side:** slow scan direction, the 20 % MTF value is at 7 lp/mm (70  $\mu\text{m}$  basic spatial resolution accord. to equation (4) in EN 14784-1), **Right side:** fast scan direction, the 20 % MTF value is at 6,6 lp/mm (80  $\mu\text{m}$  basic spatial resolution accord. to equation (4) in EN 14784-1).

## 2. Measurement of the normalized Signal-to-Noise ratio (SNR<sub>N</sub>)

The normalized SNR<sub>N</sub> was measured according to the step exposure method (see 6.1.1 in EN 14784-1). The calibrated step exposure equipment available at BAM was used for these measurements. The same equipment is currently being used also for film system classification according to EN 584-1. Compared to film exposures the step width was increased to 14 mm and the step distance to 24 mm with respect to the increased internal scattering observed with IPs as compared to film exposures. This increased step distance reduces the influence of the background from adjacent steps on the homogeneity of the exposed steps. The step height is unchanged (35 mm).




**Fig. 4:** Step exposure for  $\text{SNR}_N$  measurement. An image is shown with step exposures and marked regions for  $\text{SNR}_N$  measurements at the exposed steps. The ROIs are selected for  $\text{SNR}_N$  measurement in fast scan direction (i.e. IPs inserted into the scanner, that the fast scan direction is horizontal in this image).

The minimally required 12 different dose levels were obtained by 2 exposures with different exposure times and X-ray tube current settings. The corresponding dose for a step with a defined exposure time can be calculated on the basis of a dose calibration of 24.4  $\mu\text{Gy}/\text{mAs}$  at 220 kV and 8 mm Cu pre-filtering. A waiting time of 10 min was strictly kept between end of exposure and scanning of the IP in HD-CR scanner to avoid derivations due to fading effects in the IP and thus to obtain reproducible results.


All  $\text{SNR}_N$  measurements have been done with a window size of 20 pixel width (20 values per group in horizontal direction) and 200 pixels height (i.e. 200 groups for median in vertical direction).

Because of possible differences for  $\text{SNR}_N$  measurements in slow and fast scan direction, the directional measurement of  $\text{SNR}_N$  based on the median procedure is done in slow scan direction too (see. fig. 5). As shown, the measurements are repeated on the same data sets, only the image is rotated by 90° to exchange the positions of rows and columns for the  $\text{SNR}_N$  measurement tool. This tool calculated the standard deviation for noise measurement for horizontal line groups, which are 20 pixels wide here (see fig. 4 and 5). The normalized  $\text{SNR}_N$  in slow scan direction is the same as in fast scan direction (compare normalized  $\text{SNR}_N$  value of fig. 5 with the value in fig. 4), also the basic spatial resolution in both directions is equal to 40  $\mu\text{m}$ . For certification the  $\text{SNR}_N$  values in slow scan direction have been used, no difference was found in fast scan direction.




**Fig. 5:** Measurement of the linearized signal intensity  $I_{\text{meas}}$  (value of 7896 beside “median single line mean”) and the normalized SNR<sub>N</sub> (value of 207 beside “Normalised SNR”). For SNR normalization a basic spatial resolution of 0.04 mm is used. Here the normalized SNR<sub>N</sub> is measured in slow scan direction (result of rotated image as compared with fig. 4).

The measured relationship between dose and signal intensity (acquired raw gray values) is shown in figures 6 and 7. A linear relationship was observed, the tolerance in signal intensity at identical dose values was +/- 3 % for the 6 different imaging plates.



**Fig. 6:** Fit of gray values (linear signal intensities  $I_{\text{meas}}$ ) versus exposure doses for a single imaging plate (no. 5) over all 14 steps with different dose values at 20 µm pixel size read-out.



**Fig. 7:** Fit of dose response including all measurements of 6 different imaging plates of the same batch. The mean gain (slope) is 1529 gray values per mGy dose. The differences between individual plates are within a tolerance of +/- 3 % of the gray values. This is a result of slope variations between individual imaging plates (i.e. slope = 1527 / mGy for screen no. 5 and 1529 / mGy as mean slope over all 6 plates).

The measured normalized  $SNR_N$  in slow scan direction of all 6 imaging plates at the 14 different dose values in the range of 0.22 mGy up to 44 mGy is shown in fig. 8. An improved noise model was applied as compared with Annex A in EN 14784-1, in which the normalized  $SNR_N$  was fitted with a straight line in a semi-logarithmic graph. This improved noise model allows the analysis of the  $SNR_N$  for saturation by IP structure noise, which is typical for imaging plates. The standard deviation of the signal at  $SNR_N$  saturation is proportional to the radiation dose for structure noise and no longer proportional to the square root of the dose as for the quantum noise. The consecutive contributions for the standard deviation  $\sigma$  caused by signal noise are dependent on the radiation dose  $K$  as follows (summing up quadratically):

$$\sigma^2 = a + b K + c K^2 \quad (3)$$

The constant  $a$  describes the dose-independent contribution (electronic read-out noise or signal differences between read-out lines),  $b$  refers to the quantum noise contribution ( $\sigma$  proportional to  $\sqrt{K}$ ) and  $c$  is the noise contribution proportional to the dose (structure noise). The following model for the signal-to-noise ratio  $SNR_N = I / \sigma$  is derived from a dose-proportional signal intensity ( $I_{meas} = \text{Gain} \cdot K$ ):

$$SNR_{model} = \frac{K}{\sqrt{a + bK + cK^2}} \quad (4)$$

The maximum reachable  $SNR_{N,max}$  limited by structure noise (saturation value), can be calculated as:

$$SNR_{N,max} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{c}} \quad (5)$$


The noise model in fig. 8 (red curve) has the following model parameters:

$$a = 6.48 \cdot 10^{-5}, b = 1.79 \cdot 10^{-4}, c = 8.43 \cdot 10^{-6}, \text{ with } \mathbf{SNR_{N, max} = 344} \quad (6)$$

The electronic read-out noise can be neglected for this CR system. The maximum  $\mathbf{SNR_{N, max}}$  achievable with the tested CR system is 344. The error bars shown in fig. 8 represent a tolerance of +/- 3 % of the measured  $\mathbf{SNR_N}$  values. This tolerance describes the differences between all 6 investigated imaging plates as well as the deviation between the simple model of EN 14784-1 (line "Logarithmisch (Model)" in the semi-logarithmic plot of fig. 8) and the model according to equation (4) within the  $\mathbf{SNR_N}$  range of 43 and 130. For this reason the formula given in fig. 8 was used to determine the minimum dose values for all CR system classes in accordance with Annex A in EN 14784-1. From a given minimum signal-to-noise ratio  $\mathbf{SNR_{IPx}}$  of the CR system class IPx the corresponding minimum dose  $K_{S,IPx}$  was calculated according to equation (7) (inversion of the formula in fig. 8):

$$K_{S,IPx} = \exp((1.03 * \mathbf{SNR_{IPx}} - 66.046) / 43.884) \quad (7)$$

The factor of 1.03 in equation (7) takes into account the measurement tolerance of +/- 3 % of  $\mathbf{SNR_N}$ , resulting from the deviations between the different imaging plates. Therefore, the necessary minimum dose was  $1.03 * \mathbf{SNR_{IPx}}$ .



**Fig. 8:** Semi-logarithmic plot of the normalized  $\mathbf{SNR_N}$  measurements in slow scan direction of 6 imaging plates at 14 dose values considering the noise model according to equations (4) and (6) as well as the logarithmic fit according to Annex A in EN 14784-1. The error bars represent a tolerance of +/- 3 % of the  $\mathbf{SNR_N}$  values. The green line corresponds to the minimum value of  $\mathbf{SNR_N} = 130$  of the highest IP system class IP1 mentioned in the certificate.

Table 1 summarizes the results for all 6 IP system classes, the CEN speeds derived from the minimum dose values (see 7.3 in EN 14784-1) and the minimum linear signal intensities  $I_{IPx}$  according to equation (8):


$$I_{IPx} = 1.03 * 1529 * K_{S,IPx} = 1575 * K_{S,IPx} \quad (8)$$

The correction factor of 1.03 accounts for the tolerance of +/- 3 % of the individual gain variation for the different imaging plates of the same batch (see fig. 8), whereas a tolerance of +/- 5 % was already derived from the  $\text{SNR}_N$  measurements in equation (7).

ASTM system class	CEN system class	minimum normalized $\text{SNR}_{IPx}$	minimum dose $K_S / \text{mGy}$	CEN / ISO speed $S_{CEN} = S_{ISO}$	minimum linearized intensity $I_{IPx}$
IP special / 40	IP1/40	130	4,78	200	7550
	IP2/40	117	3,52	320	5600
	IP3/40	78	1,41	800	2250
IP I / 40	IP4/40	65	1,04	1000	1650
IP II / 40	IP5/40	52	0,76	1250	1250
IP III / 40	IP6/40	43	0,62	1600	1000


**Table 1:** CR system classification for the HD-CR 35 NDT scanner and HD-IP<sup>+</sup> imaging plates in slow scan direction (pixel size: 20  $\mu\text{m}$ , PMT\_HV = 620V). Film plastic bags have been used for exposure without lead screens.

The semi-logarithmic plot (fig. 8) shows only minor signs of the saturation effect for high dose values by the structure noise of the imaging plates. This is visualized by a plot of  $\text{SNR}_N$  versus square root of dose (see fig. 9). In this representation a nearly straight line fits to the low dose range, where the  $\text{SNR}_N$  is dominated by quantum noise, which is directly proportional to the square root of the dose. For dose values above 2 mGy the structure noise becomes more and more dominant, which results in the saturation of  $\text{SNR}_N$  at  $\text{SNR}_{N,\max}=343$  for high dose values (above 40 mGy). This saturation value depends mainly on the type of imaging plate and limits the contrast sensitivity for high dose values.



**Fig. 9:** Plot of the normalized  $\text{SNR}_N$  measurements in slow scan direction versus square root of dose of 6 imaging plates blue HD-IP at 14 dose values following the noise model according to equation (4) and (6) as well as the logarithmic fit according to Annex A in EN 14784-1. The error bars show a tolerance of +/- 3 % of the  $\text{SNR}_N$  values. The saturation effect of  $\text{SNR}_N$  by structure noise at high dose values ( $\text{SNR}_{N,\max}=344$ ) becomes more evident in this presentation.

To investigate the differences in  $\text{SNR}_N$  values between fast scan and slow scan direction, All  $\text{SNR}_N$  measurements are done in fast scan direction too (see fig. 4). The result of this analysis is shown in fig. 10.



**Fig. 10:** Semi-logarithmic plot of the normalized  $\text{SNR}_N$  measurements of 6 imaging plates at 14 dose values considering the noise model according to equation (4) and (6) as well as the logarithmic fit according to Annex A in EN 14784-1 in fast scan direction. The error bars represent a tolerance of  $\pm 3\%$  of the  $\text{SNR}_N$  values. The green line corresponds to the minimum value of  $\text{SNR}_N = 130$  of the highest IP system class IP1 mentioned in the certificate. IP exposure was done in a plastic bag without Pb screens.  $\text{SNR}_N \text{ max}$  for this condition is 487.


The noise model in fig. 10 (red curve) has the following model parameters acc. to equ. (4):

$$a = 6.8 \cdot 10^{-5}, b = 1.8 \cdot 10^{-4}, c = 8.5 \cdot 10^{-6}, \text{ with } \text{SNR}_{N, \text{max}} = 343 \quad (9)$$

The  $\text{SNR}$  values in fig. 10 (fast scan direction) are equal to the values for slow scan direction (see fig. 8) including a nearly identical saturation value  $\text{SNR}_{N, \text{max}}$ . Therefore, tab. 1 applies for both scanning directions, fast scan and slow scan. The investigated scanner test sample showed no differences between fast and slow scan direction for  $\text{SR}_b$ ,  $\text{SNR}$  and normalized  $\text{SNR}_N$ .

### 3. Other tests with the CR test phantom

The CR test phantom was radiographed at 90 kV and a source distance of 1.7 m directly above an HD-IP<sup>+</sup> without Pb screens. An overview image is shown in fig. 11.




**Fig. 11:** Overview image of the CR test phantom according to EN 14784-1, Annex B, linear signal intensity in the background near to BAM snail is 25424,  $\text{SNR}_N = 227$  in fast scan direction, exposure at 90 kV, 240 mAs, SDD=1700 mm, IP without Pb screens in plastic bag.

### 3.1. Geometric distortions

The spatial linearity and the exact pixel sizes has been determined separately for the fast scan and slow scan direction by means of the spatial linearity quality indicators built-in into the CR test phantom. Fig. 12 shows the measurement results. The maximum deviation from the nominal size of 20  $\mu\text{m}$  is 20.3  $\mu\text{m}$  in slow scan direction. This deviation in absolute pixel

size is +1.7 % and below the allowed limit of +/- 2 %. This test passed successfully.



**Fig. 12:** Measurement results for the horizontal pixel size ( $50\text{mm}/2499 = 20 \mu\text{m}$ ) in fast scan direction (left hand side) and the vertical pixel size ( $50.8\text{mm}/2496 = 20.35 \mu\text{m}$ ) in slow scan direction (right hand side).

### 3.2 Laser beam function

The Laser beam function was evaluated by the edge response of the high-absorbing T-target of the CR test phantom (see fig. 13).


At a 5x pixel magnification under- or overshoot within and between the scan lines at the edge should be detectable in case of Laser malfunction. The scan result shown in fig. 13 does not show any problem, this test passed successfully.

### 3.3 Blooming or flare


The scanning system shows flare or blooming contributions < 2 % contrast in the fast scan direction (see fig. 14). No blooming or flare has been observed in slow scan direction. A horizontal low frequency ripple <1 % contrast is measured too. Nevertheless, this test passed.

### 3.4 Scanner slipping


The scanner slipping is evaluated with the help of test target G (homogeneous strip of Al, 0.5 mm thick) in the CR test phantom. In fig. 15 low contrast (< 0.6 %) and low frequency (about 55 pixel wide) image distortions can be detected which may occur typically as horizontal line structures. The origin of these structures is unclear. They do not indicate scanner slipping. This test passed too.



**Fig. 13:** Test of Laser beam function at the edge of the T-target in the CR test phantom.




**Fig. 14:** Test of blooming or flare at the T-Target. The flare contribution in fast scan direction at the profile position shown directly at the end of the T-target is below 1.5 %. Additionally, a vertical low frequency ripple of <1 % contrast is observed (i.e. horizontal lines), which may originate from the Laser power control.



**Fig. 15:** Evaluation of scanner slipping. Low frequency artefacts are visible in vertical direction as horizontal lines with 0.4 % contrast, but only at highly integrated line profiles.

### 3.5 Shading

The integrated profile in fig. 16 shows horizontal shading in the image background of 8 % originated from the IP transport mechanism of the scanner, whereas a shading of 4 % is observed at the shading quality indicators (the Lucite holes EL, EC and ER in the CR test phantom have a signal intensity variation of 4 %).



**Fig. 16:** Horizontal shading in the image background. Deviations of 8 % have been found, generated by the IP transport system in the scanner. The shading in the holes ER, EC, EL is

4 %.

Additionally, the observed overall background shading is amplified by the dose variation of the X-ray tube depending on the opening angle too.

The maximum allowed shading is +/- 10 % according to EN 14784-1, so this test passed too.

### 3.6. Erasure of imaging plates

The scanner has a built-in erasure unit, the erasure time is identical with the scanning time. The scanning time depends on the pixel size, for larger pixel sizes the scanning and erasure time is shorter. For the scan mode used here (see fig.1) the erasure speed was 84.6 mm/min. A scan directly after erasure results always in gray values below 100 and did not show any residual structures. An overall average gray value below 10 confirmed that the minimum signal intensity after external erasure is below 1 % of the maximum signal intensity of the previous exposed image (limit in EN 14784-1). The test passed.

For higher energies and larger pixel sizes (resulting in a higher erasure speed too) it may be necessary to use additionally external erasure.

### 3.7. Summary of tests based on the CR test phantom

All tests according to EN 14784-1 performed with the CR test phantom passed. The results can be summarized according to Annex C.3 in EN 14784-1 as follows:

- a) basic spatial resolution in fast scan direction: 40 µm, in slow scan direction: 40 µm, measured real pixel size: 20 µm (in fast scan direction)
- b) recognized contrast sensitivity on IQIs according to ASTM E 1647-98a:  
Al: <1 %, Cu > 4% and SS: >3 %
- c) slipping: no, but low frequency artefacts with low contrast < 0.4 %
- d) jitter: no
- e) max. background shading of raw data: 8 % at 1.70 m source object distance, overall shading of 5 % by X-ray radiation, shading in the holes EL, EC, ER is 4 %
- f) radiation parameters: 90 kV, 1.3 mAmin, 1.70 m distance, HD-IP<sup>+</sup> without Pb screens, linear signal intensity in background near to BAM snail is 25424, SNR<sub>N</sub> = 227 in fast scan direction, scanning at 20 µm pixel size
- g) performed on December 8th 2008 by Dr. Uwe Zscherpel

### Note

This test report refers only to EN 14784-1. The requirements according to ASTM E 2445 and E 2446 are analogue, but differently structured. For readability and simplicity reasons the references to the ASTM standards have been omitted in the text.

**Federal Institute for Materials Research and Testing (BAM)  
12200 Berlin, 2010-12-09**

**Division VIII.3  
Radiological Methods**

By order



Prof. Dr. Uwe Ewert  
Head of Division

**Working group VIII.35  
Digital Radiology and Image Analysis**

By order



Dr. Uwe Zscherpel  
Head of working group